

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/889,625 | IWANAGA ET AL. | |

| | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen | 1754 | |

All Participants:

(1) Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Patrick Gallagher.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6, 14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner's amendment was proposed to put case in allowable condition. Authorization was given by Mr. Gallagher.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)